## Basic rules of reviewing articles for *Quaestiones Geographicae*

- 1. At least two independent reviewers from outside the Editorial Board are asked to appraise each article.
- 2. At least one of the reviewers is affiliated with a foreign institution in a country different from that of the author of an article.
- The author(s) and reviewers do not know their identities (the so-called double-blind review process).
- 4. In other cases the reviewer signs a declaration that there is no conflict of interests between him /her and the author, i.e.:
  - a. there are no direct personal relations (kinship, legal connections, a conflict),
  - b. there are no relations of occupational subordination, and
  - c. there has been no direct scientific cooperation over the last two years preceding the preparation of the review.
- 5. The review has a written form and ends with an explicit statement about the article being accepted for publication or rejected.
- 6. The review form is made publicly known on a web page.
- 7. The names of the reviewers of individual publications/ volumes are not revealed; once a year the journal publishes a list of cooperating reviewers.